

3/31/69

Dear Emory,

You say, "If I were to speculate, I would tend to think them ~~xxxx~~ a serial number for an agent or dossier or something along that line." Good speculation. I believe it is the serial number of Lee Harvey Oswald.

Does that help you carry it forward any?

C He had a simple code system in his notebook, involving the kind of minor error readily corrected at the scene. For example, in his notebook, "David Crawford" turned out to be David Chandler. There was the address 117 Camp St., which is that of a clothing store where he was unknown (and he had little use for the Tuxedos they rented). However, at 117 was Ronnie Camp, where he applied for a job, the publicist who worked with Arcache Smith.

O The Commission had an investigation conducted of Oswald as Agent S-179. Right number, wrong use. That was the account number from which he was paid.

P While I cannot immediately suggest any special significance in 16H64, reference to Robert Odum and Dallas Texas State, with those phone numbers, I have recently become interested in some of the ultras at Texas State, Denton, near Dallas. They had unfriendly intentions toward JFK and had participated in the attack on Stevenson.

Y Odum is not an uncommon name in that area. It is in the north.

On Fisher, who evaded you, it is not possible to work backward with the non-fatal-shot angle because everything said is wrong. When you see my books on the autopsy (and I do not know when they may be printed) you will understand better. The third is on that panel report. He now knows he is in trouble. There is a chance he may not answer you. Why not write him again (copy to me) along these lines: Tell him that since getting his letter of 2/20 you have re-examined the pictures of the President just before and at the time of the shooting and they do not show the jacket raised as he speculates, that you have experimented yourself and find that with your untailored clothes (the President's were tailored to him), the jacket rises but slightly and the shirt virtually not at all, being inhibited by the fact that it is close-fitting and anchored at the waist by the belt. Ask him if there is not a possible anatomical or skeletal explanation (there is, the scapula being the most flexible bone in the body - it is about two inches higher when the body is prone - and herein lies the answer, as he undoubtedly knows). Ask him also how a bullet can pass through the neck area without striking bone (this one, believe me, did not), given the human anatomy, without a broad angle laterally (Dr. John Nichols computes a minimum angle of 38 degrees). If this is the case (and be certain to include this, how could that bullet then have struck Connolly, sitting in front of the President, to the right of its alleged exit point in the President's body. You see, so broad an angle eliminates any possibility of the single-bullet theory, on this basis alone ending the Report. I will be interested in his answer and failure to answer. The letters you send me are very interesting. I'd like to use his in the appendix to that book if and when it can be printed. Many thanks.

Sincerely,